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High Performance Computing - HPC

- advanced computation problems
- clusters
- scientific research
- business world
- complex design
Bright Cluster Manager

Solution for installing, monitoring, managing and using clusters.

Design Goals

- Easy
- Scalable
- Complete
- High Availability
Is the failover mechanism implemented in *Bright Cluster Manager* working as intended and can it be improved?
Failover Mechanism

- 2 Nodes
- Heartbeats
- Quorum
- Fencing
- STONITH
- Shared Resource
Failover Mechanism Issues

- Additional Heartbeat Link
- Local Disk Failure
- Service Monitoring
- NTP Configuration
- Failover Toggle Switch
Additional Heartbeat Link

Context

- Additional heartbeat link via additional network
- Regular ICMP ECHO_REQUEST
Additional Heartbeat Link

Problem

- Failover not initiated if default heartbeats fail
- Heartbeat via additional network is a constraint
- The slave network link is not seen as a critical cluster resource

Solution

- Connect heartbeat NIC’s to slave network
- Connect heartbeat NIC’s to different switches
- Do not use the additional heartbeat
Local Disk Failure

Context

- Local disk failure of the primary master
- Disk is not readable (i.e. not mountable)
Local Disk Failure

Problem

- Heartbeats do not fail
- No failover initiated

Solution

- Periodic checking of local file system
- Usage of system calls
External Network

Context

- One NIC of the head nodes is connected to the Internet
- Users connect via the external network to the cluster
External Network

Problem

- Cluster becomes unusable
- No failover is initiated

Solution

- Monitor external network interfaces
- Redundant network interface cards
## Service Monitoring

### Context
- Essential services are monitored
- Crashed services are restarted

### Problem
- Only restart action implemented
- No action (failover) on continuous crashes

### Solution
- Implement continuous failure monitoring
- Initiate failover when crash threshold is hit
NTP Configuration

Context
- Head nodes run NTP service
- Used by slaves for reliable time

Problem
- Head nodes only include external sources
- No external network means no reliable time

Solution
- Include other head node as last reliable time source
- Even with no accurate time the nodes are not affected
Failover Mechanism Toggle

Context

- Failover mechanism is triggered whenever all heartbeats die

Problem

- No simple way of shutting down the failover mechanism
- Failover system will turn active machine off (STONITH)

Solution

- Simple on/off toggle button in the GUI for maintenance
Proposals

- Active-Active
- Large quorums
  - Optimized voting sequence
  - Resource groups
Active - Active

Approach 1

- Split the cluster between head nodes
- Assign partitions to each head node
- Control is divided between the two master nodes
- Job scheduling software needs to be modified
Active - Active

Approach 2

- Replicated services
- Virtual synchrony - intercept calls and distribute them to the group
  - Totally ordered
  - Reliably delivered
- Delay introduced by the group communication
- Complex wrapper over existing job scheduling software
- JOSHUA research proof-of-concept (Engelmann et. al 2006)
Quorum

Context

- Quorum votes are send via unicast (sequential)
- Performs perfectly in small/medium clusters
Large Quorums

Problem

- Slow quorum time caused by delay
- The quorum timeout needs to be fine tuned depending on the cluster size

Solutions

- Optimize sequence
- Resource groups
Load Based Quorum Sequence

- Change the quorum order dynamically
- Metric based on load
- Optimistic voting
Resource Groups

- Partitioning the cluster (e.g. in a tree)
- Decrease quorum initialization time
Resource Groups (2)

- Each group has a leader (can be statically defined)
  - Monitors group nodes
  - Starts quorum inside its group
- New quorum procedure
  1. Passive Master sends leaders a command to start the quorum
  2. Leaders start quorum inside group
  3. Slaves send vote to master nodes
  4. Master node waits a small amount of time to get a majority
  5. Master node continues regular quorum procedure on slave nodes that didn’t send votes in yet
- Quorum time is decreased
Conclusions

- Logical design can be improved
- Current system monitoring can be improved
- Additional software testing is needed
- Quorum design can be optimized for large clusters
- Proposals add complexity. Difficult to design and implement.
Questions